



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,698	01/02/2002	Osamu Wada	111618	1789
7	590 11/30/2005		EXAMINER	
Oliff & Berridge			NELSON, ALECIA DIANE	
PO Box 19928 Alexandria, VA 22320			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2675	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/019,698	WADA, OSAMU		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Alecia D. Nelson	2675		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,6-11 and 14-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-5,12 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.			
<u> </u>				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be considered as a constant of the should be considered as a constant of the should be considered to by the Examination is objected to be a considered to be a considered to be a considered to be also be a considered to be a c	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/05. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/20/02005 have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 6, 10, 11, 15, 17, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deguchi et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,480,202) in view of Nakagawa et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,246,600)

With reference to claims 1, 6, 10, 11, 15, 17, and 21, Deguchi et al. teaches an image display system of environment-compliant type that corrects a color of an image and displays the image based on visual environment information generated by a visual environment detection section (ambient light input section, 101), which detects a visual environment in a display region (103) of the image (see abstract), the display system comprising: a colored-light information processing means (100a) which converts a given color (RGB) within the visual environment information into a coordinate value (XYZamb) within a given color space, and obtains a coordinate value color pair (XYZcrt) with the

converted coordinate value(see column 6, lines 56-64), and correction means (100d) which corrects input-output characteristic data for display that is used by means of displaying the image, based on the obtained coordinate value forming the color pair (see column 7, lines 1-6).

While Deguchi et al. teaches generating coordinate values based on the visual environment and obtains a coordinate value based on the converted coordinate value as explained above, there fails to be any disclosure that the obtained coordinate value color pair forms a complementary color pair comprising colors forming gray when mixed together with the converted coordinate value within the visual environment as recited in the claims.

Nakagawa et al. teaches a color image pick-up system which includes a CCD which is capable of generating a complementary color pair, to the displayed image, forming gray when mixed together (see column 2, line 58-column 3, line 19).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the usage of the complementary color pair similar to that which is taught by Nakagawa et al. to be used as the coordinate value color pair in the device similar to that which is taught by Dguchi et al. in order to thereby improve the brightness of the display device in a plurality of different viewing environments which would normally be affected by the surrounding ambient light conditions.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,698

Art Unit: 2675

4. Claims 7-9, 14, 16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deguchi et al. in view of Nakagawa et al. as applied to claims 6, 11, and 17 above, and further in view of Margulis (U.S. Patent No. 6,456,340).

With reference to **claims 8 and 19**, Deguchi et al. teaches the display device of the system as a CRT type display device, however fails to teach the usage of a projection screen as the display means. The usage of such a display means is well known in the art.

Margulis teaches the usage of a projection screen as the display means (see column 5, lines 23-31).

Therefore it would have been obvious to allow the projection screen similar to that which is taught by Margulis to be used as the display device in a system similar to that, which is taught by Deguchi et al. and Nakagawa et al., in order to thereby provide a projection screen which is capable of performing correction to the image which is being affected by ambient light.

With reference to **claims 7, 14, 13, 16, and 18** Deguchi et al. and Nakagawa et al. teach the display system that corrects a display affected by ambient conditions, however fails to teach that the system performs gamma correction.

Margulis teaches of an image-processing module including color/spatial gamma correction (410) ant temporal gamma processing (412) as well as a plurality of other circuits for improving the image (see column 12, lines 45-58).

Application/Control Number: 10/019,698 Page 5

Art Unit: 2675

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow for the usage of the gamma correction similar to that which is taught by Margulis in a system similar to that which is taught by Deguchi et al. to thereby allow for further image correction when correcting the ambient light conditions affecting the display. This thereby promotes optimum display characteristics for viewing.

With reference to **claim 20**, Deguchi et al. teaches that the ambient light input section (101) comprises one or more photosensors and are adapted to detect information on the chromaticity and the brightness of ambient light and supplies the obtained information to the image processing section (100) as information on the viewing environment. The monitor control section (102) automatically alters the TRC characteristics of the monitor (103) according to ambient light and the information selected for it. It also supplies the information on the monitor (103) including the values selected for the reference point, the contrast and the brightness to the image processing section. (see column 7, lines 11-30).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-5, 12, and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/019,698 Page 6

Art Unit: 2675

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to *claims 1-21* have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alecia D. Nelson whose telephone number is 571-272-7771. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,698 Page 7

Art Unit: 2675

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

adn/ADN November 21, 2005

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER